

Integral Operators on Spaces of Continuous Vector-valued functions

by

Paulette Saab*

Abstract Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, let E be a Banach space, and let $C(X, E)$ stand for the Banach space of E -valued continuous functions on X under the uniform norm. In this paper we characterize Integral operators (in the sense of Grothendieck) on $C(X, E)$ spaces in term of their representing vector measures. This is then used to give some applications to Nuclear operators on $C(X, E)$ spaces.

AMS(MOS) subject Classification (1980). Primary 46E40, 46G10; Secondary 28B05, 28B20.

* Supported in part by an NSF Grant DMS-87500750

Introduction Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, let E and F be Banach spaces. Denote by $C(X, E)$ the space of all continuous E -valued functions defined on X under the uniform norm. In [9] C. Swartz showed that a bounded linear operator $T: C(X, E) \longrightarrow F$ with representing measure G is absolutely summing if and only if each of the values of G is an absolutely summing operator from E to F and G is of bounded variation as a measure taking its values in the space of absolutely summing operators from E to F equipped with the absolutely summing norm. In this paper we shall extend Swartz's result to the class of (Grothendieck) integral operators on $C(X, E)$ spaces. More precisely we shall show that a bounded linear operator $T: C(X, E) \rightarrow F$ with representing measure G is an integral operator if and only if each of the values of G is an integral operator from E to F and G is of bounded variation as a vector measure taking its values in the space of integral operators from E to F equipped with the integral norm. This result is then used to give some applications to Nuclear operators on $C(X, E)$ spaces.

I. Preliminaries If X is a compact Hausdorff space and E is a Banach space, then $C(X, E)$ will denote the Banach space of all continuous E -valued functions equipped with the uniform norm. It is well known [4, page 182] that the dual of $C(X, E)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the space $M(X, E^*)$ of all regular E^* -valued measures on X that are of bounded variation. When E is the scalar field, we will simply write $C(X)$ and $M(X)$ for $C(X, E)$ and $M(X, E^*)$. If $\mu \in M(X, E^*)$ and $e \in E$, we will denote by $|\mu|$ the variation of μ and by $\langle e, \mu \rangle$ the element of $M(X)$ defined on each Borel subset B of X by

$$\langle e, \mu \rangle(B) = \mu(B)(e).$$

The duality between $M(X, E^*)$ and $C(X, E)$ is then defined as follows: for each $f \in C(X)$ and $e \in E$

$$\mu(f \otimes e) = \int_X f d\langle e, \mu \rangle$$

where $f \otimes e$ is the element of $C(X, E)$ defined by

$$f \otimes e(x) = f(x)e \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

If B is a Borel subset of X , then 1_B will denote the characteristic function of B , and if $e \in E$ we let $1_B \otimes e$ denote the element of $C(X, E)^{**}$ defined by

$$1_B \otimes e(\mu) = \langle e, \mu \rangle(B) = \mu(B)(e)$$

for each $\mu \in M(X, E^*)$.

If X is a compact Hausdorff space, E and F are Banach spaces, every bounded linear operator $T: C(X, E) \rightarrow F$ has a representing measure G . The measure G is defined on the σ -field Σ of Borel subsets of X and takes its values in $\mathcal{L}(E, F^{**})$, the space of all bounded linear operators from E to F^{**} . The measure G is such that for each Borel subset B of X and for each $e \in E$

$$G(B)e = T^{**}(1_B \otimes e)$$

For $y^* \in F^*$, if we denote by G_{y^*} the E^* -valued measure on X such that for each Borel subset B of X and each $e \in E$

$$\langle e, G_{y^*} \rangle(B) = \langle y^*, G(B)e \rangle$$

then G_{y^*} is the unique element of $M(X, E^*)$ that represents T^*y^* in the sense that for each $f \in C(X, E)$

$$\langle y^*, Tf \rangle = \int_X f(x) dG_{y^*}(x)$$

If E and F are Banach spaces, we denote by $E \otimes_\epsilon F$ the algebraic tensor product of E and F endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_\epsilon$

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \otimes y_i \right\|_\epsilon = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^m x^*(x_i) y^*(y_i) \right| \mid \|x^*\|, \|y^*\| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The completion $E \hat{\otimes}_\epsilon F$ of $E \otimes_\epsilon F$ is called the injective tensor product of E and F .

A bounded linear operator $T: E \rightarrow F$ from a Banach space E into a Banach space F is said to be an **integral operator** if the bilinear form τ on $E \times F^*$ defined by $\tau(e, y^*) = y^*(Te)$ for $e \in E$ and $y^* \in F^*$ determines an element of $(E \hat{\otimes}_\epsilon F^*)^*$, the dual of the injective tensor product $E \hat{\otimes}_\epsilon F^*$ of the Banach spaces E and F^* . The integral norm of T , which we will denote by $\|T\|_{\text{int}}$, is just the norm of the bounded linear functional

induced by τ as an element of the dual space $(E \hat{\otimes}_\epsilon F^*)^*$. Hence if $T : E \longrightarrow F$ is an integral operator, then

$$\| T \|_{\text{int}} = \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^*(Te_i) \right| : \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes y_i^* \right\|_\epsilon \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Finally, $I(E, F)$ will stand for the Banach space of all integral operators from E to F equipped with the integral norm. For all undefined notions and notations we refer the reader to [3], [4] or [5].

One of the most useful tools in the study of an integral operators T between two Banach spaces E and F is its representation by a finite regular Borel scalar measure on the compact $B(E^*) \times B(F^{**})$, the product of the closed unit balls of E^* and F^{**} equipped with their weak*-topologies. This, of course, goes back to Grothendieck [6] and can be used to characterize integral operators by the following characterization that we will state and prove before proving the main result.

Proposition 1 *A bounded linear operator $T : E \rightarrow F$ between two Banach spaces is an integral operator if and only if there exists a regular F^{**} -valued vector measure m of bounded variation defined on the σ -field of Borel subsets of the closed unit ball $B(E^*)$, such that for each $e \in E$*

$$Te = \int_{B(E^*)} e^*(e) dm(e^*)$$

In this case m can be chosen so that $\| T \|_{\text{int}} = |m|(B(E^))$.*

Proof: Assume that there exists a regular F^{**} -valued vector measure m defined on the σ -field of Borel subsets of $B(E^*)$ with $|m|(B(E^*)) < \infty$ and such that for each $e \in E$

$$Te = \int_{B(E^*)} e^*(e) dm(e^*)$$

This in particular shows that the operator $J \circ T : E \longrightarrow F^{**}$, where J denotes the natural embedding of F into F^{**} , has an extension $\hat{T}$ to an integral operator from $C(B(E^*))$ to F^{**} . Hence T is an integral operator [4, page 233] and

$$\| T \|_{\text{int}} \leq \| \hat{T} \|_{\text{int}} = |m|(B(E^*)).$$

Conversely, suppose that $T: E \longrightarrow F$ is an integral operator, then it follows from [4,page 231] that there exists a regular Borel measure μ on $B(E^*) \times B(F^{**})$ such that for each $e \in E$ and $y^* \in F^*$

$$\langle y^*, Te \rangle = \int_{B(E^*) \times B(F^{**})} e^*(e) y^{**}(y^*) d\mu(e^*, y^{**})$$

and

$$\|T\|_{\text{int}} = |\mu|(B(E^*) \times B(F^{**})).$$

Following [4,page 234], define

$$S: E \longrightarrow L_\infty(\mu) \quad \text{by} \quad Se(e^*, y^{**}) = e^*(e)$$

and

$$R: F^* \longrightarrow L_\infty(\mu) \quad \text{by} \quad Ry^*(e^*, y^{**}) = y^{**}(y^*).$$

Then S and R are bounded linear operators with $\|S\|, \|R\| \leq 1$. Let Q be the restriction of R^* to $L_1(\mu)$, then Q is a bounded linear operator from $L_1(\mu)$ into F^{**} . It is immediate that $J \circ T = Q \circ I \circ S$ where $I: L_\infty(\mu) \longrightarrow L_1(\mu)$ is the natural inclusion and $J: F \longrightarrow F^{**}$ is the natural embedding. This in particular shows that the operator $Q \circ I$ restricted to $C(B(E^*) \times B(F^{**}))$ is an integral operator whose representing F^{**} -valued measure $\hat{\mu}$ is such that

$$\hat{\mu}(C) = Q(1_C)$$

for each Borel subset C of $B(E^*) \times B(F^{**})$. Finally note that since the natural projection of $B(E^*) \times B(F^{**})$ onto $B(E^*)$ is a continuous mapping it induces a bounded linear operator from $C(B(E^*))$ into $C(B(E^*) \times B(F^{**}))$ as follows; for $\phi \in C(B(E^*))$, let $\hat{\phi} \in C(B(E^*) \times B(F^{**}))$ be such that

$$\hat{\phi}(e^*, y^{**}) = \phi(e^*).$$

This of course shows that the operator $J \circ T$ extends to an integral operator

$$\hat{T}: C(B(E^*)) \longrightarrow F^{**}$$

such that for each $\phi \in C(B(E^*))$

$$\hat{T}\phi = Q \circ I(\hat{\phi})$$

It is immediate that the F^{**} -valued measure m representing $\hat{T}$ is such that

$$m(B) = Q(1_{B \times B(F^{**})})$$

and

$$|m|(B(E^*)) \leq |\mu|(B(E^*) \times B(F^{**})) = \|T\|_{\text{int}}.$$

Since $\hat{T}$ extends T it follows that

$$\|T\|_{\text{int}} = |m|(B(E^*)).$$

Main Result Throughout this section X is a compact Hausdorff space, E and F are Banach spaces and $T: C(X, E) \longrightarrow F$ is a bounded linear operator with representing measure G . The main result of this paper gives a characterization of integral operators T in terms of some properties of G . The first step to achieve such a characterization is to show that if T is an integral operator on $C(X, E)$, then one can do a little better than Proposition 1 by representing the operator T by a regular F^{**} -valued measure of bounded variation defined on the σ -field of Borel subsets of $X \times B(E^*)$ rather than on the whole unit ball of $(C(X, E))^*$. The proof we present here is different from our earlier proof which relied on our result [7]. We would like to thank the referee for suggesting the following approach which relies more on basic knowledge and classical results of vector measures that can be found in [4] or [5].

Lemma 2 . *If $T: C(X, E) \longrightarrow F$ is an integral operator, then there exists a regular F^{**} -valued measure of bounded variation θ on the σ -field of Borel subsets of $X \times B(E^*)$ such that for each $f \in C(X, E)$ and $y^* \in F^*$*

$$\langle y^*, Tf \rangle = \int_{X \times B(E^*)} e^*(f(x)) d\theta(x, e^*)$$

and

$$\|T\|_{\text{int}} = |\theta|(X \times B(E^*)).$$

Proof: Suppose that $T: C(X, E) \longrightarrow F$ is an integral operator, then the bilinear map $\tau(f, y^*) = y^*(T(f))$ for $f \in C(X, E)$ and $y^* \in F^*$ defines an element of $(C(X, E) \otimes_\epsilon F^*)^*$. It is easy to check that $C(X, E) \otimes_\epsilon F^*$ embeds isometrically in $C(X \times B(E^*) \times B(F^{**}))$ such that if $f \in C(X, E)$ and $y^* \in F^*$

$$f \otimes y^*(x, e^*, y^{**}) = e^*(f(x))y^{**}(y^*)$$

for each $(x, e^*, y^{**}) \in \Omega = X \times B(E^*) \times B(F^{**})$. Hence by the Hahn-Banach theorem there exists a regular Borel measure μ on Ω so that for each $f \in C(X, E)$ and $y^* \in F^*$

$$\langle y^*, Tf \rangle = \int_{\Omega} e^*(f(x))y^{**}(y^*)d\mu(x, e^*, y^{**}).$$

and

$$\|T\|_{\text{int}} = |\mu|(\Omega).$$

The proof now follows the steps of Proposition 1. Indeed, since the natural projection of Ω onto $X \times B(E^*)$ is continuous it induces a bounded linear operator

$$S: C(X \times B(E^*)) \longrightarrow L_{\infty}(\mu)$$

such that if $\phi \in C(X \times B(E^*))$ then

$$S(\phi)(x, e^*, y^{**}) = \phi(x, e^*)$$

for all $(x, e^*, y^{**}) \in \Omega$.

Let $R: F^* \longrightarrow L_{\infty}(\mu)$ be defined by $R(y^*)(x, e^*, y^{**}) = y^{**}(y^*)$ and let Q be the restriction of R^* to $L_1(\mu)$. It is straightforward to check that the operator $Q \circ I \circ S$ is an integral operator whose representing measure θ is such that

$$\theta(C) = Q(1_{C \times B(F^{**})})$$

for each Borel subset C of $X \times B(E^*)$, and

$$|\theta|(X \times B(E^*)) \leq |\mu|(\Omega) = \|T\|_{\text{int}}.$$

Moreover note that $C(X, E)$ embeds isometrically in $C(X \times B(E^*))$ by

$$f(x, e^*) = e^*(f(x))$$

for each $f \in C(X, E)$ and $(x, e^*) \in X \times B(E^*)$. It is easy to see that for each $f \in C(X, E)$

$$J \circ T(f) = Q \circ I \circ S(f)$$

hence

$$T(f) = \int_{X \times B(E^*)} e^*(f(x)) d\theta(x, e^*)$$

and

$$\| T \|_{\text{int}} \leq \| Q \circ I \circ S \|_{\text{int}} \leq |\theta|(X \times B(E^*)).$$

This complete the proof of Lemma 2 .

We are now ready to state and prove the main result of this paper.

Theorem 3 *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, let E and F be Banach spaces and let $T : C(X, E) \rightarrow F$ be a bounded linear operator with representing measure G . Then T is an integral operator if and only if for each Borel subset B of X , the operator $G(B) : E \rightarrow F$ is integral and the measure G is of finite variation as a vector measure taking its values in $I(E, F)$ equipped with the integral norm.*

Proof: Assume that $T : C(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is an integral operator. Let G denote the vector measure representing the operator T , hence for each Borel subset B of X and each $e \in E$

$$G(B)e = T^{**}(1_B \otimes e)$$

It is clear at this stage, that since T^{**} is also integral [4, p.236], then for each Borel subset B of X the operator $G(B) : E \rightarrow F$ is an integral operator as the composition of T^{**} and the bounded linear operator $E \rightarrow C(X, E)^{**}$ which to each e in E associates the element $1_B \otimes e$. In what follows we shall concentrate on estimating the value of $\| G(B) \|_{\text{int}}$. For this note that by Lemma 2 there exists a regular F^{**} -valued measure θ defined on the σ -field of Borel subsets of $X \times B(E^*)$ such that, for each $f \in C(X, E)$

$$T(f) = \int_{X \times B(E^*)} e^*(f(x)) d\theta(x, e^*)$$

and

$$\|T\|_{\text{int}} = |\theta|(X \times B(E^*)).$$

It follows that for each $y^* \in F^*$ and $f \in C(X, E)$

$$\langle T^* y^*, f \rangle = \int_{X \times B(E^*)} e^*(f(x)) d\theta_{y^*}(x, e^*).$$

where θ_{y^*} is the scalar measure on $X \times B(E^*)$ defined by

$$\theta_{y^*}(C) = \theta(C)(y^*)$$

for each Borel subset C of $X \times B(E^*)$.

We claim that for each Borel subset B of X and for each $e \in E$

$$(*) \quad G(B)e = \int_{B \times B(E^*)} e^*(e) d\theta(x, e^*).$$

For this suppose that $y^* \in F^*$ and K is a compact G_δ subset of X . Let $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of continuous real valued functions so that $0 \leq u_n \leq 1$ for all $n \geq 1$ and u_n converges to 1_K pointwise. If $e \in E$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle G(K)e, y^* \rangle &= \langle e, G_{y^*} \rangle(K) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle y^*, T(u_n \otimes e) \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{X \times B(E^*)} u_n(x) e^*(e) d\theta_{y^*}(x, e^*) \\ &= \int_{X \times B(E^*)} 1_K(x) e^*(e) d\theta_{y^*}(x, e^*) \\ &= \int_{K \times B(E^*)} e^*(e) d\theta_{y^*}(x, e^*) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$G(K)e = \int_{K \times B(E^*)} e^*(e) d\theta(x, e^*).$$

Moreover, since for each $y^* \in F^*$ the E^* -valued vector measure G_{y^*} is regular, it follows that

$$G(B)e = \int_{B \times B(E^*)} e^*(e) d\theta(x, e^*)$$

for all Borel subsets B of X . This proves our claim. If we denote by $p : X \times B(E^*) \longrightarrow E^*$ the projection mapping which to each (x, e^*) in $X \times B(E^*)$ associates e^* in $B(E^*)$, then for each Borel subset B of X , let λ_B denote the regular F^{**} -valued measure defined on the σ -field of Borel subsets of $B(E^*)$ as follows: for each Borel subset V of $B(E^*)$

$$\lambda_B(V) = \theta(B \times V).$$

In other words $\lambda_B = \theta|_{B \times B(E^*)} \circ p^{-1}$ which is the image measure of the restriction of θ to $B \times B(E^*)$ by p . This implies that for each $e \in E$

$$(**) \quad \int_{B(E^*)} e^*(e) d\lambda_B(e^*) = \int_{B \times B(E^*)} e^*(e) d\theta(x, e^*).$$

Equations (*) and (**) show that the measure λ_B is a regular F^{**} -valued measure that represents the operator $G(B): E \longrightarrow F$. Hence by Proposition 1

$$\| G(B) \|_{\text{int}} \leq |\lambda_B|(B(E^*)) = |\theta|(B \times B(E^*)).$$

and therefore

$$(\dagger) \quad \| G(B) \|_{\text{int}} \leq |\theta| (B \times B(E^*))$$

Hence the vector measure G representing the operator T takes its values in $I(E, F)$ and it follows from $(\dagger)$ that

$$|G|_{\text{int}}(X) \leq |\theta| (X \times B(E^*)).$$

Here of course $|G|_{\text{int}}(X) = \sup \sum_{B_i \in \pi} \| G(B_i) \|_{\text{int}}$ where the sup is taken over all the finite partitions of X into Borel subsets of X .

Conversely, suppose $T : C(X, E) \longrightarrow F$ is such that

$$G: \Sigma \longrightarrow I(E, F) \quad \text{and} \quad |G|_{\text{int}}(X) < \infty,$$

we need to show that T is an integral operator. For this, note that if J denotes the natural embedding of F into F^{**} , then by [4, p. 233] it is enough to show that $J \circ T : C(X, E) \longrightarrow F^{**}$ is an integral operator. The mapping J induces a mapping $\hat{J} : I(E, F) \longrightarrow I(E, F^{**})$ defined as follows, for each $U \in I(E, F)$

$$\hat{J}(U) = J \circ U$$

this in turn induces a vector measure $\hat{G} : \Sigma \longrightarrow I(E, F^{**})$ such that

$$\hat{G}(B) = \hat{J}(G(B))$$

for all $B \in \Sigma$. It is immediate that $\hat{G}$ is the measure representing the operator $J \circ T$. Since $|G|_{\text{int}}(X) < \infty$, it follows easily that $|\hat{G}|_{\text{int}}(X) < \infty$. Moreover, since $I(E, F^{**})$ is isometric to the dual space of the injective tensor product $E \hat{\otimes}_\epsilon F^*$ [4, p.237], it follows that $\hat{G}$ is a vector measure of bounded variation taking its values in the dual space $(E \hat{\otimes}_\epsilon F^*)^*$. Since $|\hat{G}|_{\text{int}}(X) < \infty$, it follows from [4, page 7] that $\hat{G}$ is strongly additive. Recall that for each $e \in E$ and $y^* \in F^*$, the scalar measure $\langle e, G_{y^*} \rangle$ is in $M(X)$. Hence for $u = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \otimes y_i^* \in E \hat{\otimes}_\epsilon F^*$, let $\langle u, \hat{G} \rangle$ be the scalar measure defined on X as follows: for each Borel subset B of X

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, \hat{G} \rangle(B) &= \hat{G}(B)(u) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle G(B) e_i, y_i^* \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle e_i, G_{y_i^*} \rangle(B) \end{aligned}$$

This implies that for each $u \in E \hat{\otimes}_\epsilon F^*$ the measure $\langle u, \hat{G} \rangle \in M(X)$. This in particular shows that $\hat{G} : \Sigma \longrightarrow (E \hat{\otimes}_\epsilon F^*)^*$ is countably additive with respect to the weak* topology on $(E \hat{\otimes}_\epsilon F^*)^*$. Since $\hat{G}$ is strongly additive, it follows easily that G is countably additive. Finally, since $\hat{G}$ is *weak** regular, a glance at [4, page 157] reveals that it is also regular.

It follows that $\hat{G}$ defines an element of $C(X, E \hat{\otimes}_\epsilon F^*)^*$, hence by [5, page 269] there exists a weak* $|\hat{G}|_{\text{int}}$ -integrable function

$$h : X \longrightarrow I(E, F^{**}) \simeq (E \hat{\otimes}_\epsilon F^*)^*$$

such that $\|h(x)\|_{\text{int}} = 1 \quad |\hat{G}|_{\text{int}} \text{ a.e.}, \hat{G} = h|\hat{G}|_{\text{int}}$, and for each $f \in C(X, E \hat{\otimes}_\epsilon F^*)$

$$\langle f, \hat{G} \rangle = \int_X \langle f(x), h(x) \rangle d|\hat{G}|_{\text{int}}(x)$$

In particular, if $\varphi \in C(X, E)$ and $y^* \in F^*$, let $f = \varphi \otimes y^*$ be the element of $C(X, E \hat{\otimes}_\epsilon F^*)$ defined by

$$\varphi \otimes y^*(x) = \varphi(x) \otimes y^* \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

then

$$\langle \varphi \otimes y^*, \hat{G} \rangle = \int_X y^*(h(x), \varphi(x)) d|\hat{G}|_{\text{int}}(x)$$

But we also have

$$(***) \quad \langle J \circ T\varphi, y^* \rangle = \langle \varphi, \hat{G}_{y^*} \rangle$$

where $\hat{G}_{y^*}$ is the element of $M(X, E^*)$ such that for each Borel subset B of X

$$\hat{G}_{y^*}(B) = y^*(\hat{G}(B)) = y^*(G(B)).$$

Hence since $\hat{G} = h \cdot |\hat{G}|_{\text{int}}$, it follows that for each Borel subset B of X

$$\hat{G}_{y^*}(B) = \int_B y^*(h(x)) d|\hat{G}|_{\text{int}}(x).$$

This implies that for each $\varphi \in C(X, E)$

$$(***) \quad \langle \varphi, \hat{G}_{y^*} \rangle = \int_X y^*(h(x), \varphi(x)) d|\hat{G}|_{\text{int}}(x).$$

It follows that if $\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i \otimes y_i^*$ is in $C(X, E) \otimes_\epsilon F^*$ with $\|\sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i \otimes y_i^*\|_\epsilon \leq 1$, then for each x in X

$$|\langle h(x), \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(x) \otimes y_i^* \rangle| \leq \|h(x)\|_{\text{int}}$$

since

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(x) \otimes y_i^* \right\|_{\epsilon} \leq \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i \otimes y_i^* \right\|_{\epsilon} \leq 1$$

It follows then from (***) and (****) that

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \langle T \varphi_i, y_i^* \rangle \right\| \leq |\hat{G}|_{\text{int}}(X) < \infty$$

Hence $J \circ T$ is an element of $I(C(X, E), F^{**})$ which implies that $T \in I(C(X, E), F)$. This completes the proof.

Applications The study of integral operators on $C(X, E)$ spaces was motivated by some problems that arose in [8] concerning nuclear operators on $C(X, E)$ spaces. Recall that an operator T between two Banach spaces Y and Z is said to be a **nuclear operator** if there exist sequences (y_n^*) and (z_n) in Y^* and Z respectively, such that $\sum_n \|y_n^*\| \|z_n\| < \infty$ and for each $y \in Y$

$$Ty = \sum_n y_n^*(y) z_n.$$

the nuclear norm is defined by

$$\|T\|_{nuc} = \inf \left\{ \sum_n \|y_n^*\| \|z_n\| \right\}$$

where the infimum is taken over all sequences (y_n^*) and (z_n) such that $Ty = \sum_n y_n^*(y) z_n$ for all $y \in Y$. We shall denote by $N(Y, Z)$ the space of nuclear operators from Y to Z under the nuclear norm. The study of nuclear operators on $C(X, E)$ spaces was initiated in [1] where some of the known results in the scalar case were extended. Nuclear operators on $C(X, E)$ spaces were also considered in [2] where it was shown that if $T : C(X, E) \longrightarrow F$ is a nuclear operator then for each Borel subset B of X , the operator $G(B) : E \longrightarrow F$ is nuclear. In [8] it was shown that the representing measure G of a nuclear operator is in fact of bounded variation as a vector measure taking its values in $N(E, F)$. Hence all the above known results can be summarized as follows:

Proposition 4 *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and let E and F be two Banach spaces. If T is a nuclear operator from $C(X, E)$ into F with representing measure G , then*

- (i) For any Borel subset B of X the operator $G(B) : E \rightarrow F$ is nuclear, and
- (ii) G is of bounded variation as a vector measure taking its values in $N(E, F)$ under the nuclear norm.

Easy examples show that conditions (i) and (ii) above do not characterize nuclear operators on $C(X, E)$ spaces. As a matter of fact, it was shown in [8] that counterexamples can be given as soon as the range space F fails to have the so-called Radon-Nikodym property (RNP) see [4]. The interesting question that arises is then:

Question 5 Assume that F has the (RNP) and that $T : C(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is an operator whose representing measure G satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of Proposition 4, is T a nuclear operator?

In what follows, we shall show how Theorem 3 can be used to give a positive answer to Question 5 in case F is assumed to be complemented in its bidual.

Theorem 6 Let F be a Banach space with the Radon-Nikodym property and assume that F is complemented in its bidual. A bounded linear operator $T : C(X, E) \rightarrow F$ is nuclear whenever its representing vector measure G satisfies the following conditions

- (i) For each Borel subset B of X , the operator $G(B) : E \rightarrow F$ is nuclear, and
- (ii) G is of bounded variation as a vector measure taking its values in $N(E, F)$.

Proof: First note that for an arbitrary Banach space F any element U in $N(E, F)$ is in $I(E, F)$ with $\|U\|_{\text{int}} \leq \|U\|_{\text{nuc}}$. Hence by Theorem 3 an operator $T : C(X, E) \rightarrow F$ that satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) above is indeed integral. Therefore when in addition we assume that F is complemented in its bidual F^{**} , the operator T becomes Pietsch integral (also called strictly integral) see [4, p.235]. Under these conditions and if F is assumed to have the Radon-Nikodym property, it is well known [4, p.175] that T becomes a nuclear operator. This completes the proof.

Remark As we have just seen, Theorem 6 is a direct application of the main result of this paper, it should be noted that Theorem 6 gives a positive answer to Question 5 when F is a dual space and when F is a Banach lattice.

Bibliography

- [1] G. Alexander, *Linear Operators on the Space of Vector-Valued Continuous Functions*, Ph.D. thesis, New Mexico State University, Las Cruces, New Mexico, 1972.
- [2] R. Bilyeu and P. Lewis, *Some mapping properties of representing measures*, Ann. Math. Pure Appl. CIX (1976), 273–287.
- [3] G. Choquet, *Lecture on Analysis*, Vol. **II**, Benjamin (1969).
- [4] J. Diestel and J.J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector measures* Math. Surveys **15** American Mathematical Society, (1977).
- [5] N. Dinculeanu, *Vector measures*, Pergamon Press, (1967).
- [6] A. Grothendieck, *Produit Tensoriels topologiques et Espaces Nucléaires*. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. **16**, (1955).
- [7] P. Saab, *Integral representation by boundary vector measures*. Canadian Math. Bull. **25** (2) (1982), 164–168.
- [8] B. Smith, *Some Bounded Linear Operators on the Spaces $C(\Omega, E)$ and $A(K, E)$* , Ph.D. thesis, University of Missouri, Columbia, Missouri, 1989.
- [9] C. Swartz, *Absolutely summing and dominated operators on spaces of vector valued continuous functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **179**, (1973), 123-132.

University of Missouri
Department of Mathematics
Columbia, MO 65211